Modelling geomorphic responses to human impacts and extreme floods: Application to the Kander river, Switzerland



UNIVERSITÄT BERN







Jorge Alberto Ramirez, Andreas Paul Zischg, Stefan Schürmann, Markus Zimmermann, Rolf Weingartner, Margreth Keiler

# Historical background

- In 1714 Kander river flowed into the Aare river:
  - Causing massive flooding in the region of Thun





# Historical background

- In 1714 Kander river flowed into the Aare river:
  - Causing massive flooding in the region of Thun
  - Kander river was deviated to lake Thun by engineering works







# Historical background

- In 1714 Kander river flowed into the Aare river:
  - Causing massive flooding in the region of Thun
  - Kander river was deviated to lake Thun by engineering works
  - Four years after Kander correction eroded ~30 m







JNIVERSITÄT

RERN

#### Aims

- Can we model geomorphic effects of human intervention in fluvial systems?:
- b UNIVERSITÄT BERN

- River restoration
- River engineering
- Test landscape evolution model (LEM) on Kander correction
- Determine sensitivity of LEM to extreme flood events (climate change)



Restoration

Engineering

Extreme floods

Source: https://mostlyaboutmayflies.wordpress.com, http://www.theadvocateproject.eu, www.gettyimages.ch

## CAESAR-Lisflood

- Landscape evolution model simulating erosion and deposition within river reaches (CAESAR)
- A hydrodynamic 2D flow model (based Lisflood FP model) that conserves mass and partial momentum



Source: https://sourceforge.net/projects/caesar-lisflood/

UNIVERSITÄT

## Model test using Kander Correction

UNIVERSITÄT BERN

• Erosion: incision of channel



- In ~4 years the Kander correction eroded ~30 m
- Afterwards the river eroded less and 'stabilized'
- Channel erosion propagated upstream



### Model test using Kander Correction

• **Deposition:** development of delta in lake Thun



U

UNIVERSITÄT Bern

# Topography

- Present day topography was used to represent the river banks
- Historical data was used to develop river channel and Kander correction



Discharge

12 year simulation Hourly Discharge 1986-1998



 $u^{\scriptscriptstyle b}$ 

# Sediment inputs

- 20,000 m<sup>3</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> were added to both the Simme and Kander
- High flows were ≥ 30 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and we assumed upstream sediment transport occurred above this threshold
- Amounts of sediment were proportionally added over time based on the discharge that was above the threshold



b

## Grainsize distribution

- 6 grain size classes (silt to boulder) were estimated from Kander and Simme
- Each gird cell in the model initially contains the same grainsize percentages



# Initial Conditions

- Kander without correction
- 1986 discharge and sediment inputs for Kander and Simme were repeated
- Grainsize mixing occurred, channel erosion and deposition
- Model ran for a total of <u>8 years</u> until the reach was in equilibrium: <u>3%</u> difference between sediment coming in and out of the reach



#### Kander correction: 1714



- The correction Length: 340 m, Width: 32 m, Slope: 0.8%.
- A <u>ramp</u> connected the correction to the lake
- <u>Lake</u> Thun was added to the DEM at the location of the shoreline.
  The lake was set as a non-erodible plane.

#### Kander correction: 1714

668

556





Source: Geschiebehaushalt Kander, 2004

b

U

## Kander correction model

- Simulated 12 years of movement of water and sediment •
- Every year topography was recorded (1714-1726)



UNIVERSITÄT BERN

U



 $u^{{}^{\scriptscriptstyle \mathsf{D}}}$ 

۲

**Elevation Profile** 29 m of erosion within 4 years 605 Kander correction -←Lake → year 0 600 595 590 Elevation (m) 585 year 1 580 575 year 2 570 year 4 565 560 555 300 400 200 500 100 600 700 0 Distance (m)

U

- 29 m of erosion within 4 years
- 2 m difference with todays river





900 m of upstream incision within 12 yrs





Year 1 (1715)



b UNIVERSITÄT BERN

U

900 m of upstream incision within 12 yrs







U

#### Model test: Delta formation



b

U

#### Year 0 (1714)



Simme

#### Model test: Delta formation



b UNIVERSITÄT BERN

U

b

#### Model test: Delta formation



U

## Response to extreme floods

Determine sensitivity of LEM applied to steep rivers and extreme flood events



# Extreme hydrographs

36 scenarios

Sediment added proportional to discharge





 $u^{\scriptscriptstyle \flat}$ 

## Response to extreme floods

• Determine how much <u>incision</u> occurs with flood events of different magnitude and duration

1714 (year 0)



# Geomorphic change

Model produces plausible erosion and deposition under extreme flood conditions Flood duration has greater effect on change in elevation than peak discharge Single extreme flood events can produce up to 6 m of erosion, 4m of deposition



# Geomorphic change

Flood that is 3 times longer, and 10 times lower in peak discharge produces similar change in elevation Long duration floods (6 day) with relatively low discharge are geomorphically important



### Conclusions

U

CAESAR lisflood can replicate <u>geomorphic effects</u> of <u>human intervention</u> in fluvial systems, this includes:

- River bed incision
- Upstream incision
- Delta formation

Model produces plausible erosion and deposition under extreme flood conditions

Long duration floods with relatively low discharge are geomorphically important